

Parsing *Islam*-Babble
As Double-Speak

And

That Which Commands

And

Threatens Islam



[The Rev. David R. Graham](#)
[Adwaita Hermitage](#)
September 04, 2006

The terms "Islamist," "Islamofascist," and "Islamofascist" are misleading and simplistic, made so for propagandistic/entertainment reasons, not for analysis, discussion and decision.

First, tyranny going under the name of Islam or rooted in allegedly Islamic tribes and nations shares more in common with socialism than with fascism.

Second, so-called "Islamofascists" do not think of themselves as fascists. They think of themselves as Muslims, as religious folks, not as politicians.

Third, at least two major kinds of so-called "Islamofascists" are afoot, one from Sunni Arabs and one from Shi'ite Arabs, though now based in distorted Persian genetics, and these kinds of so-called "Islamofascism" meaningfully oppose one another on more than power-political matters.

Fourth, those customarily deemed "Islam-[whatever]" are not Muslims and do not represent Islam. They are demonic clergy and scholars nursing limitless hegemonistic ambitions for every piece of earth, water, fire, air and sky on this planet and any others that might exist.

Yet, these misleading and simplistic terms have gained currency as carrying a usefully precise content of meaning: "Muslims," led by egomaniacal, hegemonistic demonic clerics and scholars who aim to rule the world, slaughter opponents ¹ and impose their construction of 7th Century Arab or 16th Century Arab-co-opted Persian tribalism on humanity.

Such as these are evil bastards, not Muslims, who, in the event, appear to be in the shortest possible supply, by numbers, eclipsed through death or intimidation -- neither of which reflect honorably on Islam -- by the evil ones, both Arab/Central Asian "Wahhabis" and Persian/Arab "Shi'ites." Both Wahhabis and Shi'ites claim sole legitimacy in perpetuity as representatives of Islam, the world's only legitimate religion.

Thus, I will use the aforementioned terms that have gained currency with the caveat that they do not indicate people who represent Islam, nor even a unified front of distorted Islam.

These terms indicate people who represent perversions of Islam, and of more than one kind, in more than one direction, and with conflicting aims.

Nonetheless, since the voices of such people are now taken commonly to represent a version of Islam -- and the voices of Muslims are all but silent on the question of whether they represent Islam at all, thereby implying

¹ To slaughter means to slit open the throat so the victim dies from bleeding, or for maximum intimidation and humiliation, decapitation.

that they do -- it is desirable to understand the evil bastards' meaning when they speak, so they say, for Islam

Especially is this so when other so-called Muslim voices seem to indicate attitudes which, in the Western Nations, are referred to hopefully -- in defiance of the [evidence](#) -- as "[moderate Islam](#)." ²

The purpose of this essay is to parse Islamo-Babble, aka Double-Speak, to the effect that "Islam is a religion of peace." and "Islam condemns the taking of innocent life." This is the babble of both so-called "Islamofascists" and so-called "Moderate Muslims."

It is taken for granted that so-called "Moderate Muslims" who utter these and similar deceptions are funding or encouraging on the *qui vive* all manner of effort by demonic clergy and scholars to dominate the world. ³

When we hear these phrases -- "Islam is a religion of peace." and "Islam condemns the taking of innocent life." -- we must understand that they refer not to Islam facing the world, Islamic and non-Islamic equally, but to Islam facing Muslims of the kind approved by the cleric or scholar uttering these phrases.

Islam is a religion of peace for those approved by a particular cleric or scholar. Likewise, Islam condemns taking the life of those living in an orbit approved by a particular cleric or scholar.

Islam is conversion, dhimmitude or death for all not approved by a particular cleric or scholar. For all outside the acceptance of a particular cleric or scholar, Islam is war (*jihad*) and sentence of conversion, dhimmitude

2 As if there is another kind!

3 Here is a fresh example of Islamo-Babble or Double-Speak, from "Moderate Islam."

or death at the hands of that cleric's or scholar's followers, especially death by slaughter. ⁴

The phrase "Islam is a religion of peace." means peace by submission to the demonic cleric or scholar to which one adheres and "be a *dhimmi* or die" for all not approved by that demonic cleric or scholar but yet allowed to live as a source of revenue by way of slavery or the *jizah*, the tax on non-Muslims specified by the Koran

What, then, specifically, is meant by Muslims double-speaking by saying that "Islam is a religion of peace." and "Islam condemns the taking of innocent life?" The meaning is simple and direct so long as one understands its structure of logic, which which can be seen with the use of set theory.

The logic, from an exposition of its sets, runs as follows:

The Set of Muslims: Whoever obeys and practices Islam as prescribed by a particular cleric or scholar is in the set of those who are saved, so long as they obey the continuing directives (fatwas) of their particular cleric or scholar.

The Set of Infidels or Apostates: Whoever has belonged to a set of Muslims, yet leaves it, disgraces Islam thereby and is therefore an infidel ⁵ or Apostate. ⁶ Infidels are outside the set of salvation, inside the set of the

4 Muslims like the sight of blood and to watch life ebb from the victim of their knife. Shiites especially, and especially in Iran, are notorious for mass slaughter of animals in public. The sight of women being stoned to death or slaughtered also appeals to Muslims. Islam as that is represented by clerics and scholars is misogynistic and cruel, as Europeans and more recently Americans are learning first-hand.

5 Latin infides, un-faithful.

6 Greek apo, away from and stasis, stand on.

damned, and therefore nothings to be dealt with by Muslims at will, with impunity, without reference to any standards of morality, religion, justice, etc. Infidelity is the most heinous act a man or woman can do. It is treason of God, more culpable even than treason of self or nation.

The Set of Innocents, sometimes improperly called "infidels." Whoever has not heard the message of Islam and thus has not been asked to convert to Islam is an innocent. Muslims must treat such as these according to standards, with consideration and justice, though not as though they belong to the orbit of Muslims and thus have salvation. However, once an innocent has heard the message of Islam, which is being invited to convert to Islam, they will want to convert to Islam and are obliged to do so on pain of dhimmitude or death.

The Set of Unbelievers: Whoever has heard the message of Islam and been asked to convert to Islam yet has refused to believe the message or refused to convert to Islam -- two distinct and different refusals -- is an unbeliever. Unbelievers are to be treated similarly to infidels, at will, with impunity and without reference to standards of morality or justice. They are not nothings, as infidels are, but they are fools and charlatans fit for fire.

Hindus, Buddhists, Zoroastrians and Christians (to include Jews, whom Muslims rightly regard as in the Christian orbit) comprise the Set of Infidels according to almost all Islamic teaching, and especially the bomb-tossing/human-slaughtering clerics and scholars -- the Wahhabis, supported by the family of [Ibn Sa'ud](#), and the Arab/Persian "Ayatollahs."

Few in the world today are considered Innocents by these clergy and scholars, because, as they say, nearly all have heard the call to Islam and have answered it positively by converting or negatively -- still the majority of mankind -- by refusing conversion. Nearly everyone not espousing one of the religions comprising infidels is an unbeliever. Thus the killing

continues merrily on, with the more or less quiet encouragement and support of "Moderate Muslims."

We see then, that when Islamo-fascists and "Moderate Muslims" say "Islam is a religion of peace." and "Islam condemns the taking of innocent life." both of these groups use Double-Speak to appease the ears of ignorant, gullible - and, in their eyes, therefore, contemptible, slaughter-worthy -- listeners.

In effect, as has been pointed out by some aware of the realities, the Wahhabis and "Ayatollahs" have succeeded in co-opting Islam almost universally, at least to the extent that Muslims who know better - i.e., that Islam does not support these evil bastards - eschew courage and accept intimidation into silence -- a condition that reflects dishonorably on them and Islam.

The question arises why these clergy and scholars even bother to deceive others by using these two platitudinous phrases. The answer is simple. They engage in this deceit so long as they are not in a position strong enough to be direct about their intentions, as when they live as a minority in a non-Muslim country or speak in a non-Muslim venue. As soon as they are in a strong position,⁷ they discard the deceit, speak clearly and commence killing. This is the situation in Great Britain and most of Europe now, which is why Mark Steyn calls Europe Eurabia.

Of course "Islam is a religion of peace." and "Islam condemns the taking of innocent life!" But these statements refer only to the sets of people who are either Muslims (of the exact flavor approved by the particular

⁷ A strong position for them does not necessarily mean numerical superiority. They have developed deceit in nations of the orbit of the Latin Church to gull or intimidate the leadership orbits, especially of academe and government, so that they can speak freely and with impunity regarding their intent.

cleric or scholar in question) or Innocents. These statements do not refer to the sets of people who are Infidels, Innocents or Unbelievers!

That is the point hidden in the intent of both Islamo-fascists and "moderate Muslims" to deceive. With respect to Infidels, Innocents and Unbelievers, the exact opposites of those statements are the truth with respect both "Islamofascists" and "Moderate Muslims."

"Moderate Muslims" are no less hegemonistic, and, ultimately, even bloodthirsty, than the evil bastards of Wahhabism and Shi'ism. One way and another, nearly all Muslims have in mind the goal of "Muslimdom" (*Muslim Ummah*), which is world-wide cultural, political, economic, religious and moral hegemony for their cleric or scholar and themselves.

A rhetorical device is employed by "Moderate Muslims" to deceive, to take the heat off of them, so speak, but without criticizing the evil bastards they encourage and support *sub tabella*, on the *qui vive*.

Their deception is signaled, for those with intellect subtle enough to discern it, by the refusal of "Moderate Muslims" materially, decisively to oppose the evil bastards speaking and acting in the name of Islam. Instead, "Moderate Muslims," intone unctuous sermonettes for "an understanding of Islam" by those who, they presume insufferably, do not understand it, namely, those whom Muslims aim to convert, subjugate or slaughter, especially Christians and Hindus. They treat Christians especially as addled schoolchildren.

The recent videotape by the traitorous American [Adam Yahiyeh Gadahn](#) (Adam Pearlman, the son of musician [Phil Pearlman](#)) demanding his fellow Americans "convert to Islam" is inspired by a double cynicism, pretending for the moment that Americans are innocents, rather than infidels, and meanwhile setting up Americans - all of them and any Muslims

with them - for slaughter, by telling them they must convert to Islam as his Muslim Brotherhood/Al Qaeda bosses define it.

The whole business is fetid mendacity beyond the power of humanity to comprehend. A self-promoting boy of no achievement this side of treason deserves attention from the guild of journalists, editors and publishers, who fancy themselves a [Fourth Estate](#), namely, the mob, dogging the first three estates, the Church, the Nobility and the Bourgeoisie?

So-called "moderate Muslims," will be trusted when they take two actions sincerely, without mental reservation, and meaning exactly what these actions are:



Abjure the goal of an Islam-only world.



Affirm the equal validity, legitimacy and persistence of man's religions, especially his major ones (by numbers): Hinduism, Buddhism, Zoroastrianism, Islam and Christianity.

To abjure the goal of an Islam-only world, Muslims must transcend Koranic literalism. To affirm the equal validity, legitimacy and persistence of man's religions, Muslims must transcend tribal provincialism.

These actions are required of Islam. They are long overdue.

Frankly, the world is getting weary of wet-nursing Muslims into the transcendent unity of global humanity, aka the 21st Century.

Muslims' efforts to turn back the clock, to refuse community not of their making, already have inspired a world-wide intransigence and implacability against their moronic notions, decadent diction, peevish tribalism and insufferable egoism.

These feelings are going to hit Muslims where they did not expect they could or would be hit and Muslims are going to experience a cataclysmic depression upon seeing that their self-importance and self-promotion are of no importance and zero credibility. The world sees their moronic posturing for what it is, mere puffery, no religion at all ... nor culture ... nor morality. Evil is evil and these clerics and scholars are evil personalities leading evil myrmidons.

Religion is love without sets, without categories. Love is with universality, as God loves, and is beyond conditions.

Religion include effective self-defense against attack from demonic personalities and forces.

This reality Muslims are required to learn and integrate into their religion, culture and morality as a new, viable structure of Islam which transcends Muslims' self-destructive tribalism and lives, instead, in, over, under, around and through the Divine Life.

Muslims must lose their fantasies of 7th Century Arabia or 16th Century Persia or 10th Century Andalusia. All life is one and is not nor never shall be Muslim.

As COL T. E. Lawrence said, Islam must grow up and come of age in existing conditions, as the other great religions have done already, with new being and having viable structure that expresses the oneness of God and the universality of Love.

A.M.D.G.